

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,906		12/28/2001	Steven M. Penn	TI-30544	9017
23494	7590	05/21/2003			_
TEXAS IN	ISTRUM	ENTS INCORPO	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 6 DALLAS, 1	-		ALLEN, DENISE S		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2872	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •		\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/032,906	PENN, STEVEN M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Denise S Allen	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
22,2	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.				
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language prediction 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	ovisional application has been re tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/032,906

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: reference 212 (page 10 line 4) and reference 214 (page 10 line 5). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 1 reference 128 and Figure 2 references 204 and 206. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 18, 21, and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 18 is unclear because the limitation "said modulated" is repeated (line 1).

Suggested correction: delete one copy of the limitation "said modulated".

The limitation "said projection paths" (claim 21 line 3 and claim 22 lines 3 and 7) lacks antecedent basis because it has not been previously recited in claim 17, 21, or 22. Suggested correction: replace the limitation "said projection paths" with "a projection paths".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,906

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 12, 16-19, 21-23, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishii et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Ishii et al teach an image display system (Figure 1) comprising: a light source (reference 1) for providing a beam of light along an illumination path; a sequential color filter (reference 13) on said illumination path for filtering said beam of light; a polarizing beam splitter (reference 70) on said illumination path for separating said filtered light beam into a first beam (reference a) having a first polarization state and a second beam (reference b) having a second polarization state; a first spatial light modulator (reference 12) receiving and selectively modulating said first beam; a second spatial light modulator (reference 12') receiving and selectively modulating said second beam; and at least one projection lens (reference 5) on a projection path for focusing said first and second beams on an image plane (reference 6).

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Ishii et al teach said polarizing beam splitter combining said modulated first and second light beams (reference c).

Regarding claims 3 and 19, Ishii et al teach said sequential color filter comprising a color wheel (Figure 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/032,906

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claims 5 and 21, Ishii et al teach at least one prism (reference 72) in said illumination and said projection paths for separating said filtered illumination light beam and said modulated light beam.

Regarding claims 6 and 22, Ishii et al teach a first prism (reference 72) in said illumination and said projection paths for separating said first beam directed to said first modulator and said modulated first beam from said first modulator; and a second prism (reference 73) in said illumination and said projection paths for separating said second beam directed to said second modulator and said modulated second beam from said second modulator.

Regarding claims 7 and 23, Ishii et al teach the modulated light from said first modulator (Figure 11 reference 118) passing through a first projection lens (reference 119) and light from said second modulator (reference 121) passing through a second projection lens (reference 122).

Regarding claims 12 and 29, Ishii et al teach the first modulator comprising a liquid crystal device (column 8 line 35).

Regarding claim 16, Ishii et al teach polarized eyewear for a viewer of said image display system (column 11 lines 47 - 48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al in view of Brennesholtz.

Ishii et al teaches an image display system as described above with a color wheel. Ishii et al does not teach a spiral color wheel.

Brennesholtz teaches a spiral color wheel (column 3 lines 56 - 62) used to sequentially filter colors in a projection system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the spiral color wheel of Brennesholtz in the image display system of Ishii et al in order to increase the efficiency of the image display system (Brennesholtz column 2 lines 9 - 15).

Claims 8 - 11 and 24 - 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al in view of Gibbon et al.

Regarding claims 8 - 10 and 24 - 27, Ishii et al teaches an image display system as described above. Ishii et al does not teach said first and second modulators are positioned such that pixelated images from said first and second modulators are offset by approximately one-half pixel in both a horizontal direction and a vertical direction at said image plane.

Gibbon et al teaches two modulators positioned such that pixelated images from the modulators are offset by approximately one-half pixel in both horizontal and vertical directions at said image plane (page 1 paragraph 0012). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the pixel arrangement of Gibbon et al in the image display system of Ishii et al in order to increase the image resolution (Gibbon paragraph 0012 lines 9-14).

Regarding claims 11 and 28, Ishii et al teaches an image display system as described above. Ishii et al does not teach the first modulator comprising a micromirror device.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,906 Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

Gibbon et al teaches an image display system with micromirror modulators (page 2 paragraph 0033). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the micromirror modulator of Gibbon et al in the image display system of Ishii et al in order to eliminate fixed pattern noise.

Claims 13 - 15 and 30 - 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al in view of Wang.

Regarding claims 13 and 30, Ishii et al teaches an image display system as described above. Ishii et al does not teach a recycling integrator on said illumination path for homogenizing said light beam prior to said sequential color filter.

Wang teaches a display system (Figure 1 reference 100) with a recycling integrator (reference 130) on an illumination path for homogenizing a light beam (column 3 lines 51 - 55) prior to a sequential color filter (reference 120). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the recycling integrator of Wang in the image display system of Ishii et al in order to have uniform light illumination (Wang column 3 lines 51 - 53).

Regarding claims 14 and 31, Wang teaches the recycling integrator is a solid integrating rod (column 7 lines 36 - 44) having a mirrored input aperture (reference 140).

Application/Control Number: 10/032,906 Page 7

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claims 15 and 32, Wang teaches the recycling integrator is a hollow integrating rod

(column 7 lines 36 – 44) having a mirrored input aperture (reference 140).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Denise S Allen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on (703) 308-1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

dsa

May 14, 2003

Denise S Allen Examiner Art Unit 2872

 \downarrow

Audrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800